Project Sheet

Adriatic HVDC link

Project type	transmission
Project id	338
Created by	
Created on	Feb 11, 2021 12:08:58 PM

Index

Key Information 3
Description 3
Project Description & Context 5
Project Investments 5
System Needs addressed by the project 5
Interconnection targets 5
Project Assessment 9
Transfer capacity increase (National Trends 2025) 9
CBA results 9
Central scenario: National Trends 9
Scenarios: Distributed Energy & Global Ambition 11
Benefits submitted by promoters based on scenarios other than the TYNDP2020 scenarios 13
Non scenario-dependent indicator(s) 13
Sensitivity Study: Current Trends 13
Residual impact 15
Project Costs 15

ENTSOE

Key Information

Internal Project
Offshore DC transmission cable
New

Planned But Not Yet Permitting

Description

New HVDC line between Villanova (or Villavalle) and Fano (or Portotolle) existing 400 kV substations (the nominal power of the link will be at least 1000 MW)

Project promoters

TERNA

Countries

Italy



National development plan(s)

Avanzamento Piani di Sviluppo Precedenti - Volume 2, Italy, 2019, page 11
https://download.terna.it/terna/0000/1188/44.PDF

Additional information on the project

Italian Development Plan:

http://www.terna.it/it-it/sistemaelettrico/pianodisviluppodellarete/pianidisviluppo.aspx

Project sheet backlog

6 Nov. 2020 - Publication as part of the draft TYNDP 2020 package for consultation

ENTSO-E

Project Description & Context

Project Investments

Main investment(s): HVDC lines

Type of element	Total route length (km)	From substation 1	To substation 2	Present status	Commissioning year	Progress of the investment	Explanation in case of delay or reclustering
Offshore DC transmission cable	400	Villanova	Fano	Planned But Not Yet Permitting	2030	New Investment	

Is the project in the reference grid?

No

System Needs addressed by the project

Project promoter(s) selected up to 4 system needs that are addressed by their project in a predefined list. Available needs ranged from reduction of price differentials between countries, improvement of system flexibility and stability, enabling cost-efficient connection of high volumes of RES, improvement of voltage stability...
As part of the TYNDP 2020, ENTSO-E released a study investigating cross-border system needs in the 2030 and 2040 horizons.

Need		How the project addresses each need (explanation provided by the project promoter(s))
	Infrastructure to facilitate and accommodate future scenarios, cross-border flows or loop flows.	The new line will allow congestion reduction on the Southern-Northern border, and the integration of the local renewable production.
	Contribution to the power system's operational flexibility by controlling power flows and optimizing use of existing infrastructure	Increasing of the Social Economic Welfare and reduction of the ancillary market services.

Interconnection targets

In 2017, the Interconnection Target Expert Group proposed alternative indicators to the 15% interconnection criteria for every country and electrified island with a new methodology developed collaboratively between the European Commission, ENTSO-E, ENTSOG, industry,

universities and other experts. The methodology is based on three indicators:
1. Price differential between EU countries, with an objective to reduce it below 2 EUR/MWh
2. Ratio between nominal transmission capacity and installed RES capacity, with a target of past 30%
3. Ratio between nominal transmission capacity and peak load, with a target of past 30%

The following maps show the ITEG methodology applied to the TYNDP 2030 scenarios, with the 2020 grid.

ENTSO-E

ENTSOE

ENTSOE

Project Assessment

Transfer capacity increase (National Trends 2025)

	Border	A B	B A
Transfer capacity increase (in MW)	Internal (Italy)	600	1000
Transfer capacity increase (in MW)	Internal (Italy)	1000	1000

CBA results

ENTSO-E has assessed the benefits delivered by each project in 2025 (scenario National Trends 2025) and in 2030 (three scenarios: National Trends, Distributed Energy and Global Ambition). Indicators B1 to B6 were computed by ENTSO-E, while promoters had the possibility to compute and submit so-called project-level benefits (B7 to B10). The benefits must be understood as benefits for Europe as a whole, not for the promoters of the project.
Results are presented per scenario.

Central scenario: National Trends

National Trends is the central policy scenario of the TYNDP2020, designed to reflect EU member state's National Energy and Climate Plans (NECP), in line with the requirement to meet current European 2030 energy strategy targets.

			Comparison of the COP21 scenarios with NT2030 average result		
	NT2025	**NT2030**	**DE2030 is < or > than NT2030**	**GA2030 is < or > than NT2030**	

Increase in socio-economic welfare

B1 Annual Socio-Economic Welfare (SEW) increase (M € / year)	max average min	16 10 5	38 24 12	>	<	ENTSO-E assessment
B1_CO2 Annual Socio-Economic Welfare increase resulting from CO2 emissions reduction	max average min	-1 -4 -7	2 0 -2	>	<	ENTSO-E assessment
B1_RES Annual	max	3	13			ENTSO-E

Socio-Economic Welfare increase resulting from RES integration	average min	1 0	8 4	>	<	assessment

Reduction of CO2 and GHG emissions

B4 Non-CO2 emissions (kg/year)

B4a Nitrogen oxides	average	706	248	n/a	n/a	ENTSO-E assessment
B4b Ammonia	average	-47	-26	n/a	n/a	ENTSO-E assessment
B4c Sulfur dioxide	average	1762	465	n/a	n/a	ENTSO-E assessment
B4d Particular matter 5	average	-74	1307	n/a	n/a	ENTSO-E assessment
B4e Particulate matter 10	average	-18	12	n/a	n/a	ENTSO-E assessment
B4f Non-methane volatile organic compounds	average	-81	1274	n/a	n/a	ENTSO-E assessment

B2a Annual CO2 variation from market simulation (ktonnes / year)	max average min	287 158 55	61 2 -61	< <	>>>>	ENTSO-E assessment
B2a_€ Annual Societal cost variation resulting from CO2 variation from market simulation monetised (M € / year)	CO2 price 60€/ ton 100€/ ton 189€/ ton	-6 -12 -26	0 0 0	>>>>	<<<<	ENTSO-E assessment
B2b Annual CO2 variation due to network losses (ktonnes / year)	average	18	253	n/a	n/a	ENTSO-E assessment
B2b_€ Annual Societal cost variation	CO2 price 60€/ ton	-1 -1	-8 -18	n/a	n/a	ENTSO-E assessment

resulting from CO2 variation from network simulation monetised (M € / year)	100€/ ton 189€/ ton	-3	-41			

Integration of renewable energy sources

B3 Annual avoided curtailment (RES integration) (GWh / year)	max average min	55 16 1	346 207 94	>	<	ENTSO-E assessment
B3a Connected RES (MW)	-			n/a	n/a	ENTSO-E assessment

Impact on grid losses

B5 Variation of network losses (GWh / year)	average	74	497	n/a	n/a	ENTSO-E assessment
B5_€ Variation of network losses monetised (M€ / year)	average	2	26	n/a	n/a	ENTSO-E assessment

Security of supply

B6 Annual reduction in Energy Not Served (MWh / year)	max average min		13	n/a	n/a	ENTSO-E assessment
B6_€ Annual Socio-Economic Welfare increase resulting from reduction in Energy Not Served monetised (M€ / year)	average	n/a	0	>	n/a	ENTSO-E assessment

Scenarios: Distributed Energy & Global Ambition

DE and GA are two scenarios created in line with the COP21 targets to understand the impact on infrastructure needs agains different pathways reducing EU-28 emissions to net-zero by 2050.

For these two scenarios, projects were assessed with a subset of CBA parameters.

		DE2030	GA2030	
Increase in socio-economic welfare				
B1 Annual Socio-Economic Welfare (SEW) increase (M€ / year)	average	34	19	ENTSO-E assessment
B1_CO2 Annual Socio-Economic Welfare increase resulting from CO2 emissions reduction	average	11	-5	ENTSO-E assessment
B1_RES Annual Socio-Economic Welfare increase resulting from RES integration	average	13	8	ENTSO-E assessment
Reduction of CO2 and GHG emissions				
B2a Annual CO2 variation from market simulation (ktonnes / year)	average	-215	144	ENTSO-E assessment
B2a_€ Annual Societal cost variation resulting from CO2 variation from market simulation monetised (M€ / year)	CO2 price 60€/ ton 100€/ ton 189€/ ton	2 10 29	-4 -9 -22	ENTSO-E assessment
Integration of renewable energy sources				
B3 Annual avoided curtailment (RES integration) (GWh / year)	average	261	172	ENTSO-E assessment
B3a Connected RES (MW)	-			ENTSO-E assessment

Benefits submitted by promoters based on scenarios other than the TYNDP2020 scenarios

	2025	2030	
B7 System Flexibility – Balancing Energy Exchange		++	Qualitative assessment by ENTSO-E, based on the study and values provided by the project promoter(s). ENTSO-E confirms the consistency of the proposed indicator with the CBA Guideline 3.0. About the computation of this indicator by the project promoter(s)

This indicator was computed based on Decentralised (DEC) , in a study performed by Terna in 2020. The promoter(s) stated that the submitted value was computed in accordance with the CBA Guideline and explained it as follows:

The indicator B7, adopted in Italian NDP, defines the cost variation for dispatching services, with reference to reduction of internal congestion and ancillary services

The promoter(s) certified that the values submitted and assessed in the study relate to countries located within the ENTSO-E perimeter only.

Non scenario-dependent indicator(s)

B8.0 Stability (Transient, Voltage and Frequency Stability)	Transient stability	Voltage stability	Frequency stability	
New HVDC line between Villanova and Fano existing 400 kV substations (New HVDC)	++	++	+ (between sync areas)	Qualitative assessment by ENTSO-E based on information on the project technology provided by the project promoter(s). This indicator is not dependent on scenarios or time horizons.

Sensitivity Study: Current Trends

'Current Trends' describes a future where the energy transition is slower than planned.

	CT2030	

Increase in socio-economic welfare

B1 Annual Socio-Economic Welfare (SEW) increase (M€ / year) average	10	ENTSO-E assessment

B1_CO2 Annual Socio-Economic Welfare increase resulting from CO2 emissions reduction	average	4	ENTSO-E assessment
B1_RES Annual Socio-Economic Welfare increase resulting from RES integration	average	1	ENTSO-E assessment

Reduction of CO2 and GHG emissions

B2a Annual CO2 variation from market simulation (ktonnes / year)	average	-138	ENTSO-E assessment
B2a_€ Annual Societal cost variation resulting from CO2 variation from market simulation monetised (M€ / year)	CO2 price 60€/ ton 100€/ ton 189€/ ton	4 10 22	ENTSO-E assessment

Integration of renewable energy sources

B3 Annual avoided curtailment (RES integration) (GWh / year)	average	28	ENTSO-E assessment
B3a Connected RES (MW)	-		ENTSO-E assessment

Comments on the CBA results by the project promoter(s)

About B6 - Annual Socio-Economic Welfare increase resulting from reduction in Energy Not Served monetised):

In Italy a different parameter is used for the monetization of the Expected Energy Not Served (EENS) variation due to this project, because the current parameter used by ENTSOE in this project sheet (10 k€/MWh) is not compliant with National regulations in force in Italy n°627/2016 (“Minimum Requirements for the preparation of the Ten Year Development Plan of the National Transmission Network” according to which benefits related to reduction of EENS is monetized through a Value of Lost Load (VOLL) in a range between 20 k€/MWh and 40 k€/MWh.

Residual impact

Information on the residual impact of the project was provided by the project promoter(s).

Project Costs

The project costs were provided by the project promoter(s).

	CAPEX (Meuro)	Uncertainty range (%)	OPEX
1521. New HVDC line between Villanova and Fano existing 400 kV substations	1115	10	17.81
Total	1115		17.81

Explanation provided by the project promoter on the CAPEX uncertainty range:

1521 Uncertainty regarding extra costs due to safety, environmental or legal requirements imposed during permit grating process.