

28th Market European Stakeholder Committee (MESC)

Wednesday, 1 June 2022, 10:30-16:00
Online Meeting

Draft Minutes

Participating Members		
Christophe	Gence-Creux	ACER/Chair
Mathilde	Lallemand	EC
Rickard	Nilsson	Europex
Michael	Van Bossuyt	IFIEC
Helene	Robaye	Eurelectric
Donia	Peerhossaini	Eurelectric
Marie	Bourrousse	Eurelectric
Louise	Rullaud	Eurelectric
Lorenzo	Bigilia	EFET
Sonia	Saly	EFET
Johannes	Schulz	EFET
Magnus	Thorstensson	GEODE
Gunnar	Kaestle	COGEN Europe
Annette	Jantzen	EUGINE
Valerie	Reif	FSR
NRAs/ NEMOs/ACER/ ENTSO-E representatives		
Maarten	Klijn	ACM
Sven	Kaiser	E-Control
Lisa	Dallinger	BNetzA
Barbara	Zwinka	BNetzA
Rafael	Gómez-Elvira	All NEMO Committee Chairman
Kata	Feher	All NEMOs Committee
Tore	Granli	All NEMOs Committee
Rodrigo	Escobar Rodríguez	All NEMOs Committee
Katrin	Schmitz	ENTSO-E
Andre	Estermann	ENTSO-E
Mario	Turcik	ENTSO-E
Peter	Scheerer	ENTSO-E
Lena	Witt	ENTSO-E
Benjamin	Genêt	ENTSO-E
Ruud	Otter	ENTSO-E
Jean	Verseille	ENTSO-E
Ole Jacob	Høyland	ENTSO-E
Jean	Versaille	ENTSO-E
Cherry	Yuen	ENTSO-E
Frederik	Johnsen	JAO
Martin	Povh	ACER
Mathieu	Fransen	ACER
Isaline	Court	ACER
Athina	Tellidou	ACER
Martin	Viehhauser	ACER

Participating Members		
Volha	Veramyeva	ENTSO-E
Pilar	Munoz-Elena	ENTSO-E
Marta	Mendoza-Villamayor	ENTSO-E

1 Opening

1.1. Welcoming address + Approval of minutes + Draft Agenda (Christophe Gence-Creux, ACER)

The Chair welcomes the participants and asks whether there are comments on the agenda or on the minutes of the last meeting. In the absence of comments, the agenda and the minutes of the last meeting are approved.

The Chair announces that the next MESC meeting on 14 September will take place physically.

For AoB part, the EC won't be able to provide feedback on offshore strategy, but they will provide a short update of day ahead market coupling arrangement with UK.

1.2. Update on recent developments (recent decisions, physical vs on-line meetings)

The Chair presents the recent developments:

- Market Design Paper has been delivered by ACER. The main messages will be presented at this meeting.
- Go live of the Core Day ahead Market coupling project on 8 June.
- One referral was received from the Finnish and Swedish NRAs about a disagreement regarding the most appropriate hedging tools on the Finnish/Swedish border. An update will be provided at the meeting.
- Derogation request was received by the Swedish NRA from SvK. ACER will resolve this referral by 6 months on the disagreement between the Danish, Swedish and Finnish NRA.
- Ongoing Public Consultation from ACER on the Grid connection NC and possible amendments.
- Today the Public Consultation will be launched on possible enhancements of the FCA GL. An update will be provided at the meeting.

Peter Scheerer (ENTSO-E) provides an update on Picasso project (aFRR) that is into operation on 1 June 2022 ahead of the legal EBGL implementation deadline with CEPS joining first. On 22 June the Austrian and German TSOs and then stepwise other TSOs will follow as participating TSOs.

2. Market Design

2.1 [ACER's presentation](#) on its April's assessment

Rafael Muruais (ACER) presents the updates on the Final Assessment of the EU Wholesale Electricity Market design. 13 measures are proposed for the consideration of policy makers, future proofing the EU wholesale electricity Market design.

The Chair insists on the first measure proposed to speed up the electricity market integration: implementing what was already agreed.

2.2 EC's update

No specific updates about the Florence Forum.

Mathilde Lallemand (EC) explains that the REPower EU communication was published on 18 May. The REPower EU plan has the objective of getting the EU independent from Russian fossil fuel by 2030. There are 3 pillars: Acceleration of the Energy transition, diversification of Energy sources and reducing demand. All information is available here: https://ec.europa.eu/commission/presscorner/detail/en/ip_22_3131. Electricity prices and Market Design are the most important topics to the MESC. A dedicated Communication on market measures has been issued on 18 May 2022 ("Short-Term Energy Market Interventions and Long-Term Improvements to the Electricity Market Design – a course for action"). For the short time measures, the Stakeholders were consulted on different measures, and generally expressed a preference for measures targeting consumers (in line with the Toolbox proposed in October 2021). The communication points out further short-term measures.

For long-term market design, the EC will conduct an Impact Assessment.

The European Council conclusions of 30-31 May took note of the ACER report and invited EC to pursue the work on optimization of the electricity market. For the short term, member states (MS) may come up with measures proposal. On short-term measures, Member States will come to the Commission that will make sure that these are in check with the EU Law.

Johannes Schulz (EFET) raises a question on the approval of the Spanish measure.

Mathilde Lallemand (EC) explains that an agreement has been reached but not approved formally at the day of the meeting. It has been approved on 8 June 2022 (https://ec.europa.eu/commission/presscorner/detail/en/ip_22_3550).

2.3 Market participants reaction

EFET presentation. Johannes Schulz (EFET) welcomes ACER report. Integration is delivering, but they see risks of interventions on the functioning of the wholesale market, like the Spanish intervention. Medium and long-term effects to be envisaged. EFET advices to improve forward electricity markets with five proposals: ▪ Promoting forward market liquidity by introducing voluntary market-making incentives in illiquid markets ▪ Mandating the issuance of forward transmission rights (FTRs) by all TSOs at all European borders ▪ Removing any barriers to and providing incentives for the conclusion of multi-year contracts like (renewable) PPAs as market-based solutions for long-term hedging ▪ Developing 5-year-ahead forward transmission rights to start matching the contract duration of PPAs.

Chair comments that the discussion on improving forward electricity markets will continue in the coming months.

Marie Bourrousse (Eurelectric) presents some questions and concerns about the ACER report:

- Targetted measures should be harmonized at European level and time limited and address the root cause of the problem of price increase, better address price cap for gas. It should be consulted with relevant stakeholders.
- Direct electrification should be deployed, supporting the EC facilitation efforts on permitting.
- Protect IEM as it is, further implement CEP and avoid invasive measures.
- On the BZ configurations and locational price signals, she sees a contradiction in the messaging from ACER: need of liquidity on forward market and the need for a better price signal. She explains that she is not against locational pricing, but there may be better ways to send price signal: congestion charges, tariff design or flexibility procurements would be better.

Helene Robaye (Eurelectric) raises the concern around the forward markets, liquidity has to be improved. Reinforcement of the integration of forward market is welcome: LTTR beyond current year horizon, facilitate hedging in different BZ. She also highlights that she does not see the idea of reinforcing forward market integration in the EC communication and asks if EC may consider it.

EC answers that strengthening forward markets integration will be investigated and notes the concern.

Peter Scheerer (ENTSO-E) highlights that regulatory intervention on the wholesale market should be a last resort measure. Long term signals are still needed. Also, the automatic increase mechanism for technical price limits should be reconsidered. TSOs are also affected on high prices concerning losses, remedial actions and balancing. It is essential that the increasing costs are reflected in transmission tariffs.

Michael Van Bossuyt (IFIEC) raises their concern on the price caps. If market price shows a high price, then the price cap should be adapted. Demand response should react to prices so if this is hit it should be higher. We should avoid short term solutions that create long term problems.

ENTSO-E agrees on the need of clear scarcity prices.

ACER suggests stopping the discussion and proceed in the dedicated point on the agenda. ACER shares the worry on the impact of short-term measures on the long-term market.

3. CACM

3.1 Update on CACM 2.0

Mathilde Lallemand (EC) thanks for the 61 contributions to the consultation from Stakeholders. Responses that are not confidential will be published. The proposal will go to Comitology after the summer, not with the intended planning due to the crisis, but try to maintain it as much as possible. CACM is a fundamental block in the Market Design.

Andre Estermann (ENTSO-E) appreciates EC work. He questions about the non confidentiality of public responses and asks how far the feedback will be taken into consideration

If the planning is maintained, the EC will come up with a draft amendment proposal and accompanying document (which would include a summary of the public consultation).

Rickard Nilsson (Europex) recalls the meeting in March, appreciates the consultation and suggests a forum with Stakeholders towards comitology.

ACER replied that the topic is on the Florence Forum agenda.

EC does not foresee formal forum but bilateral exchanges if needed. MESC can also serve this purpose.

Marie Bourrousse (Eurelectric) hopes EC will consider stakeholders proposals.

[EFET/Eurelectric presentation](#). In Continuous trading, some provisions are missing to improve its efficiency. Caps in terms of number of auctions and interruptions of continuous trading are needed. She highlights some positive developments in transparency and Stakeholders involvement, but still room for improvement on publication of information.

She also highlights that there may be some disruptive changes in the current proposal from ACER in CACM. Still not any visibility on the positive CBA of those disruptive changes. She explains in particular her concerns regarding how 70% could be considered in the ID timeframe and ACER MCO EU single entity.

3.2 Update on [Core FB project](#)

Lena Witt (ENTSO-E) explains that the go ahead on 20 april was postponed. Blocking positions from some TSOs and NEMOs regarding the reliability of the DA outcome and the impact on ID ATC capacities. High level explanation to main concerns, blocking positions and delay of go live. Today dedicated CCG meeting planned, whose aim is to answer the letter of the associations. The new Go live of Core DA FB is 8 June.

[EFET-IFIEC-MPP presentation](#). Helene Robaye explains why we called for postponement while fully supporting FB and that a list of questions was sent to TSOs, to understand the mitigation measures in place, which measures and what impact ATC extraction, algorithm before the go-live, % of hours with 0 ID capacity. She highlights that the data has improved on DA but still needed improvements in JAO publications and on ID capacity calculation. This should be tackled before go-live.

ACER explains that a dedicated MPP meeting will take place this afternoon and highlights that they are confident that the remaining issues will be addressed there.

Sonia Saly (EFET) raises the question of why prices in individual zones are not published or published late.

Lena Witt (ENTSO-E) explains that she will look into the issue and come back, if necessary, in the Core CG.

3.3 Update on the automatic increase of the max DA harmonised price and review of [HMMCP methodology](#)

Rafael Gomez-Elvira (NEMO) explains that NEMOs have launched a public consultation to review the HMMCP methodology for SDAC and SDIC.

Marie Bourrousse (Eurelectric) asks to recall or mention where to find the rationale for the 5 weeks choice and asks ACER if the possibility to decrease the price cap is compliant with, according to art 10 of electricity regulation.

Rafael Gomez-Elvira (NEMO), answers that they stick to regulation, at that time 5 weeks was enough to allow clients to put in place all collaterals, sensible period for the clients to be prepared to new environment, new price.

ACER explains that it was a request from NEMOs to delay the effective increase of the CAP. Decreasing the CAP may not be in line with spirit to seek value of loss load.

Michael Van Bossuyt (IFIEC) explains that he sees weird to decrease CAPs, looking at VOLL level.

Lorenzo Bigilia (EFET) agrees on the comments and adjustments in balancing and time lag for price adjustments to be considered, missed in the picture.

Peter Scheerer (ENTSO-E) explains that there are good reasons why prices go up and how important scarcity prices are. Still the cold wave in Texas in Feb. 2021 with 9000\$ for 4 days led to a situation where 51 bill Dollar had to be recovered by someone. Companies have been bankrupted and strong price signals didn't help at a certain stage. 2 hours on April 4th in an EU country are rather short one direction price going up, with no measures for a way back or a long-lasting scarcity situation. We do not have the solution; we just say the methodology should be reassessed.

Johannes Schulz (EFET) explains that EU is not the same case as in TEXAS and this example is irrelevant.

Rickard Nilsson (Europex) says that there shall be an appropriate rule to amend technical limits, Martin Povh (ACER) highlights that in long lasting scarcity situations, all resources shall be activated. Keeping the price cap doesn't help anymore.

Michael van Bossuyt (IFIEC) highlights that it is the opposite reaction of what you want. Loose a lot of flexibility, lower price levels, less flexibility in your system. That would destroy demand reduction when you most need it in time of scarcity.

Rafael Gomez-Elvira (NEMO) explains that the Public Consultation will last until 15th July.

3.4 [Update on SDAC & SIDC](#)

Ole Jakob Høyland (TSO chair of the DA coupling) informs on their new logo for MCSC. Next week's go live of Core Flow Based implies new operational timings. The next biggest project in DA is enabling the 15 minutes; it involves all the TSOs and all the NEMOS. Four times more calculation efforts.

Twice a year now training with the market participants. The ambition is to learn from incidents every year.

Helene Robaye (Eurelectric) is looking forward to the discussion on the 15 mins market time unit. She encourages the project to share transparently the challenges, and the mitigation measures. She understands the challenges in meeting the deadline and asks for caution and to carefully consider the most difficult elements and to safeguard operational security.

Jean Verseille (TSO chair of ID coupling) informs that Intra Day, like for Day Ahead, will add new borders of Slovenia and Hungary by the end of the month. 4th go live wave including Greece and Slovakia will come by the end of the year. May marked a new record on trades: 5 million transactions on 1 day.

He presents the SIDC roadmap with two scenarios depending on whether cross-product matching will be developed. Exchanges with NRAs on this functionality are ongoing; they should provide guidelines in the coming days.

Finally, he introduces the new Market Coupling Consultative Group (MCCG) whose aim is to provide information and facilitate exchanges with the market participants on the design, development, implementation and operation of SDAC and SIDC. First meeting will be held on the 7th of June.

Lorenzo Bigilia (EFET) highlights that the go live date for Slovakia and Greece has not yet been fixed and asks more information on this. He also welcomes the new MCCG and highlights that cross-product matching is essential for market participants and it should be developed as soon as possible. He is happy to continue the discussion on these aspects.

Jean Verseille (TSO chair of ID coupling) states that there is a window November-December. The Slovakian and Greek system coordination and testing shall be organised to meet this objective.

Gunnar Kaestle (COGEN) asks what the role of Intra Day coupling is in reducing the Deterministic Frequency Deviations.

Jean Verseille (TSO chair of ID coupling) explains that more tools should help minimise the deterministic frequency deviations, that the SIDC will not solve by itself.

3.5 [ACER's presentation on the 70% implementation monitoring report](#)

Isaline Court (ACER) reminds that in the previous MESC the ACER\NRAs harmonization process around the 70% monitoring has been presented. ACER also drafted the yearly report on the 70% target. So far, not yet published, but it will be published by the end of next week. In light of the preliminary results and conclusions of this report, there is room for improvement on the data delivered specially for Baltics, Italy North and Sweden.

On DC borders 70% is generally met for most of the hours and there are improvements for NL. Yet, relative lower levels of MACZT remained on some Polish borders due to the allocation constraints as well as DK1-SE3 and DE-SE4 and FI-SE3.

On AC borders the MACZT for some regions are relatively high. Within CWE, improvements in the capacity calculation process led to higher values. For the Transitory targets there is further analysis of the performance of each Member States provided. Yet, compared to 2020, ACER could not observe a clear trend of increasing MACZT values. The results show that the picture is diverse.

Regarding the derogation, action plans and transitory targets, the main conclusions for 2021 are that new action plans have been adopted, that more MS included a transitory target in their derogation but that there is still 6 MS with a derogation that does not defined a transitory target on all borders.

Katrin Schmitz (ENTSO-E) explains that ENTSO-E appreciates that the ACER report now also considers the transitory targets in its analysis ([ENTSO-E presentation](#)). In June, ENTSO-E will also publish the 70% report as part of its annual market report. She reminds that by this ENTSO-E follows its legal obligation to analyse the 70% as part of the Technical Report (which is being published every 3 years, considering data of the last 3 years). ENTSO-E's report covers 2021 and provides an overview on the national assessments for stakeholders. In addition to the main findings which can be found in the main body of the report, a more detailed country by country assessment is provided in the annex.

Lorenzo Bigilia (EFET) warmly welcomes [ACER\NRAs April 2022 guidance note](#), following December 2021 stakeholders request. Essential is to improve visibility to Market participants. He highlights the need to do the best possible use of Market capacity. He stresses the need for even closer alignment in the reporting of all timestamps, allocation constraints, all lines (including internal), ensuring all requested data is made available by the TSOs to ACER and the NRAs. He also welcomes the progress in harmonization of the reporting ([EFET's presentation](#)).

Michael Van Bossuyt \Rickard Nilsson (Europex) confirms the good progress and good way forward. Rickard Nilsson asked ACER whether the follow-up of the derogations and action plans is only up to NRAs or if it is a shared task with ACER.

Christophe Gence-Creux (Chair) states that NRAs are in charge of monitoring the compliance. Yet, monitoring the progress of derogation and action plans is in the scope of ACER's monitoring. He explains that in some MS the derogations do not foresee a numeric target and therefore it is difficult to assess the progress. Moreover, some Member States compliance monitoring is not fully aligned with the ACER methodology, i.e. Germany, France and Poland.

3.6 [Update on the BZR](#)

Rafael MURAI (ACER) explains that ACER will take the decision on the alternative configurations, before the BZ review starts, and after TSOs will have 12 month to perform the review. He reminds the BZR process and ACER's role. No configurations for CE were submitted by the TSOs.

He explains that ACER decision on alternative configurations will be based on 2 objectives: maximize capacity and economic efficiency.

Lorenzo Bigilia (EFET) asks ENTSO-E when the next BZ review consultative group meeting will happen.

Marta Mendoza (ENTSO-E) explains that it is planned for the week of 27 June – 3rd July, an e-mail will be sent to confirm it.

Marie Bourrousse (Eurelectric) asks how the feedback on the consultation organised by ACER on the high-level approach of the methodology to choose alternative configurations will be considered, especially how liquidity aspects were considered in defining alternative configurations.

Rafael MURAI (ACER) explains that the electricity regulation defines two steps to be considered: definition of configurations, which is triggered by the presence of structural congestions, and the BZ review, where other criteria relevant to configurations will be studied. He emphasises what the regulation says and provides the right importance to liquidity.

Sonia Saly (EFET) asks if the decision will be taken on the 20 July, as there is not much time between the consultative group meeting and 20 July. Is market participants opinion and answer not necessary?

ACER explains that the decision on the alternative bidding zone configurations is in its final step and there is no more time for consultation. The consultative group is established to accompany the BZ study led by TSOs, will take care about the process, not about the ACER decision on alternative bidding zone configurations.

Marie Bourrousse (Eurelectric) asks for which topics will be tackled in the Consultative group meeting.

Marta Mendoza (ENTSO-E) explains that the meeting that will be organised by end June beginning July, will be the kick of meeting of the Consultative group. It would have been ideal to have the alternative configuration but that will not be possible before August. The survey on transition costs will be shared and feedback requested. Another meeting will be also organised in September when the configuration would be known.

4. FCA

4.1 [Hedging opportunities on the SE-FI border](#)

Martin Viehhauser (ACER) explains that there will be 12 months implementation after approval. LTTRs are issued in most BZs borders. The majority of respondents of the public consultation organised don't support the LTTRs and favour EPADs. Solutions on the insufficient hedging opportunities shall be proposed now.

Andre Estermann (ENTSO-E) asks that All TSOs \ ENTSO-E should have a role on the next steps. Finland\Estonian border didn't work out in the past. The earlier the involvement the better.

Martin Viehhauser (ACER) explains the urgency on making a decision in the BZ borders now, as there are insufficient hedging opportunities. We see value in involving TSOs in the right moment.

Rickard Nilsson (Europex) says that hedge is very relevant in Sweden. Sweden has 4 BZ, extreme deficit on supply, is decommissioning Nuclear and there are deficit areas and others with a lot of surpluses. Solution supporting hedging are needed. LTTRs are still an open question in Sweden.

Martin Viehhauser (ACER) says that Finnish Swedish BZ border have insufficient hedging and highlights the need to consider the impact on hedging on the other side of the BZ border. Relevant issues to be considered in the decision.

4.2 [ACER/CEER Policy paper on electricity forward market](#)

Martin Povh (ACER) explains that they had look into the study in detail and organises on the 6 July a public workshop. He provides a brief overview. There will be 2 months consultation. Once the policy paper is finalised, there will be an Impact Assessment, that will feed into the discussion of the amendments. He expects to start this discussion by the end of the year and start drafting key objectives in terms of forward markets. 8 problems are defined in the policy paper, 4 possible options were addressed.

Transmission rights should be able to bridge the markets, but it doesn't work to boost liquidity on small markets. There is a need to improve the allocation of long-term capacity. Obligations are the way to go not the options.

Andre Estermann (ENTSO-E) says that TSOs will react to the Public Consultation and participate in the Workshop. He highlights the need to manage the risk equally. Consumer don't have the strongest voice.

Marie Bourrousse (Eurelectric) is now discovering the slides with the assessment. She is surprised and sees some contradictions, as the size of zones and liquidity objectives. Proper assessment and justification are needed. Eurelectric will answer to the Public Consultation.

Lorenzo Bigilia (EFET) will go through the policy paper, answers the public consultation and participates at the Workshop. He raises concerns about liquidity in small zones.

Benjamin Genet (ENTSO-E) highlights that ACER slides bring quite important changes. He questions how far to go with current implementation and how to reconcile major changes in the same time as the implementation.

Martin Povh (ACER) says that Capacity calculation changes are fully in line with TSOs implementation. On capacity allocation part, Flow based allocation indeed there will be changes, single allocation platform function will be impacted. On the year capacity scenario base the capacity calculation will remain the same.

ACER confirms that all the discussions around this topic are welcomed.

4.3 [Creation of a stakeholder's group on FCA topics](#)

Andre Estermann (ENTSO-E) explains that the long-term flow-based discussion continuous. The consultative group should be operational by next week and will be concerned by all aspect relevant for JAO. The User group will be mainly focused on rather detailed discussions. He explains big hope that markets would move in long term allocation, and that there would be long term products in most borders.

ACER confirms the positive developments.

Lorenzo Bigilia (EFET) welcomes this approach. We need to remember to coordinate the 5 European stakeholder groups: MESC, MCCG, BZR, EBSG, Florence Forum. The MESC can act as an umbrella for all the groups.

5. [Framework Guideline relative to Art. 59\(1\)\(e\) of the Electricity Regulation on Demand-side flexibility](#)

Athina Tellidou (ACER) explains that the Framework of Demand Side Flexibility has started for the stablishment of new NCs, as part of the priority list of October 2020.

Scoping exercise took place on ACER side. By the end of January ACER submitted to the EC the result of the scoping exercise. EC asked ACER to develop the framework guidelines (FG) and gave 6 months to develop them. There will be a 2-month public consultation that will start tomorrow.

Wholesale side of the market is the focus, balancing services to TSOs and congestion management and voltage control for TSOs and DSOs. Main topics for the FG are general requirements for market access, prequalification, SOs interactions and data exchange, Congestion management and Voltage control. Prequalification and data exchange are still relevant. ACER welcomes views in the general provisions and scope. There will be a public workshop by the end of June.

Donia Peerhossaini (Eurelectric) DSF thinking from Eurelectric, set of [common overarching rules](#). Coherence with existing NCs and GLs. 6 overarching principles: Transparency, Data Visibility, Coordination, Value Stacking, Incentives, Technology neutral approach. Not discriminatory rules in aggregation further topics to discuss in the coming consultation.

6. AOB

- Update by ENTSO-E on ENTSO-E's work on [market suspensions](#)

Cherry Yuen (ENTSO-E) explains that the TSOs are working on a stepwise approach, starting by a common understanding on terminology among the TSOs on this topic and a survey. There will be an alignment with ACER again in October.

Rickard Nilson (EUROPEX) asks if the survey will be among the TSOs or also addressed to Stakeholders.

Cherry Yuen (ENTSO-E) replies that NC ER Art 35 36 explains rules on how TSOs may suspend and restore the market, at the first step we focus on understanding the TSOs.

ACER welcomes the start of this work.

Michael Van Bossuyt (IFIEC) asks TSOs to avoid harmonization of bad rules. If there is something, please share it in advance as it is very important to all market parties too.

Cherry Yuen (ENTSO-E) replies that this is not about harmonization of the rules but about an overview of the different national solutions.

Marie Bourrousse (Eurelectric) asks if the current work can be shared with the market participants already now. The Chair (ACER) sees premature at this stage.

Peter Scheerer (ENTSO-E) highlights that MESC has a lot of topic and prioritization on the tasks is needed. ENTSO-E cannot promise for September, but the topic may be in the Agenda for the MESC by end of the year and ENTSO-E noted the justified stakeholder interest in the topic.

Cherry Yuen (ENTSO-E) confirms that the outcome on the understanding of terminology will be shared with Acer and discussed after with Stakeholders.

- Update on balancing platforms readiness and accession roadmap

- Update by the EC on the offshore strategy with a focus on the market design aspects (OBZ vs HM model)

No update

- ACER and CEER publication
- Update by the EC on the DA market coupling arrangements with UK (follow-up on MRLVC)

The EC informs that following the meeting of the Specialised Committee on Energy on 30 March 2022, further analysis is needed on the MRLVC. Further questions will be addressed to TSOs and Regulators. Decent time to answer the questions will be provided. Minutes are available: [specialised committee on energy - minute of meeting 30 march 2022](#)

The Chair confirms that next meeting will take place in Brussels on the 14 September at CEER or ENTSO-E - to be confirmed.

Next meetings dates:

- 14 September (physical in Brussels)
- 7 December 2022 (on-line)