



Grid Connection European Stakeholder Committee

28 September

Key messages for the ongoing revision process

1. There is a significant increase in requirements, which will have a direct impact on the economic viability for new power generation development – and must be therefore carefully considered.
2. We therefore need a systematic and transparent cost/benefit analysis for the power system on any additional requirements.
3. Climate hazard resilience is an important matter for generators, however it should not be dealt with in the NC RfG, but rather in other EU and national legislation.
4. Of particular concern in the ACER proposal is the increase of criteria on significant modernisation - too many unnecessary criteria could lead to additional and undue costs for investments and ultimately for customers – lets remembers that conclusion of the EG Significant Modernisation were contentious rather than unanimous.
5. Requirements (e.g. Rocof withstand capability, but not only) need to take into account the physical capabilities of PGMs.