

# **European Stakeholder Committee for Cybersecurity in Electricity Sector**

Objectives

Sławomir Bryska, Cybersecurity Policy Officer, ACER First Cybersecurity ESC meeting, 16 October 2025



## Four objectives of the European Stakeholder Committee for Cybersecurity

Objective	NC article	Some initial observations
Identifying problems and proposing improvements to the implementation of the <b>existing</b> cybersecurity network code	10	Addressing problems in compliance with current rules (see next slide)
Recommending <b>future</b> revisions of the network code	12(2)(c)	Only limited by the applicable primary legislation
Identifying whether any additional rules on common requirements, planning, monitoring, reporting and crisis management may be needed	12(2)(b)	Could be <b>either</b> future revisions (see above) <b>or</b> binding terms, conditions, methodologies and plans developed under current network code
Determining uncovered areas and new priorities that may emerge due to technological developments	12(2)(c)	Not necessarily new rules, could be NC-related guidance, technical recommendations, white papers etc.



#### Thank you for your 'hopes and worries' (1)

	They <i>could</i> be initially grouped into the following 15 broad domains					
1	Authorities: engagement and decision-making process	9	ESC: openness in discussions, responsibilities and planning			
2	Authorities: resourcing and empowerment	10	Information sharing (e.g. cyber-attacks and threats)			
3	Consultations & input gathering process	11	Regulatory complexity, overlaps and thus streamlining			
4	Controls and measures	12	Risk assessment: entity-level			
5	Cyber exercises	13	Dealing with specific entity types (e.g. ICT and security providers)			
6	Entities in multiple Member States and e.g. reporting obligations	14	Engaging TSOs so they fulfil their role under the NCCS			
7	Entities outside the European Union	15	Union-wide risk assessment: concerns and process-related feedback			
8	Entities: engagement and awareness-raising					



#### Thank you for your 'hopes and worries' (2)

	Potential first topics and areas – larger and smaller ones						
1	ESC: building a trusted community to tackle its objectives	Submitted by nearly everyone	We are discussing it today (see next slide).				
2	Authorities: resourcing, empowerment and decision-making	Submitted by several associations and authorities	Concerns regarding approval of terms, conditions, methodologies and plans, as well as subsequent risk assessments.				
3	Union-wide risk assessment: concerns and process-related feedback	Submitted by two associations	Concerns regarding availability of data, as well as process-related feedback from one association.				
4	Willingness to share information	Submitted by several associations and authorities	Building trust and willingness to share information, e.g. relating to reportable cyber-attacks, threats and exploited zero-day vulnerabilities.				
5	Doubts regarding NIS vs NCCS information sharing	Submitted verbally	We will keep addressing any such doubts.				
6	Cybersecurity NC benchmarking collaboration	Raised by ACER	National Regulatory Authorities need involvement of the ENTSO-E, EU DSO entity and the entities.				



#### Our three guiding principles

**Openness** We speak our mind. We talk openly about

needs and problems to address them

effectively and efficiently.

**Trust** We respect confidentiality. Without it,

openness will be undermined.

**Efficiency** We meet if and only for as long as we need

to. We work efficiently and on the things that

require our work.





### Thank you! Questions?

slawomir.bryska@acer.europa.eu